TABLE 2 LICENSED AND CP UHF TRANSLATORS WITHIN STATE OF COLORADO AUGUST 1995

Channel	Licensed	<u>CP</u>	Population	Oldest <u>Licensed</u>
14	1		1599	BLTT-890427IB
15	2	3	232	1
16	4	3	230,986	BLTT-890927IC
17	2	1	83491	BLTT-811109IW
18	3	3	8,883	BLTT-890927ID
19	4	3	10,530	BLTT-811211IE
20	4	0	13,932	BLTT-890403JB
21	2	0	232	BLTT-811211IF
22	4	1	21,420	BLTT-890920IG
23	3	0	36,884	BLTT-811109JA
24	3	1	3,120	BLTT-890927IF
25	1	1	53	1
26	3	0	3,120	BLTT-890927IG
27	3	4	99,567	BLTT-811109JD
28	3	1	11,132	BLTT-881012IC
29	2	1	13,366	BLTT-811211IG
30	5	2	283,993	BLTT-800911IE
31	4	2	5,141	BLTT-870616IL
32	3	0	13,851	BLTT-810123JU
33	3	2	489,320	BLTT-830912IA
34	2	1	2,719	BLTT-810123JV

¹All later than 1990.

TABLE 2 LICENSED AND CP UHF TRANSLATORS WITHIN STATE OF COLORADO AUGUST 1995 (continued)

Channel	Licensed	<u>CP</u>	Population	Oldest <u>Licensed</u>
35	5	2	17,058	BLTT-870616IM
36	6	2	226,076	BLTT-810123JX
37	0	0	509,146	BLTT-810122JO
38	5	0	53,334	BLTT-810724IK
39	7	1	43,334	BLTT-810724IK
40	4	0	6,708	BLTT-890725IG
41	6	0	40,165	BLTT-810724IL
42	3	1	10,737	1
43	6	1	780,010	BLTT-810724IM
44	5	0	89,557	BLTT-820223IO
45	4	0	13,966	BLTT-880621IS
46	4	0	12,460	BLTT-810324JS
47	6	0	5,231	BLTT-800513IB
48	9	1	149,883	BLTT-810327JP
49	7	0	284,721	BLTT-810306IQ
50	4	2	780	BLTT-810327JO
51	6	1	8,236	BLTT-1871
52	4	1	5,664	BLTT-2038
53	7	0	24,430	BLTT-2007
54	9	0	176,609	BLTT-2039

¹All later than 1990.

TABLE 2 LICENSED AND CP UHF TRANSLATORS WITHIN STATE OF COLORADO AUGUST 1995 (continued)

Channel	Licensed	<u>CP</u>	Population	Oldest <u>Licensed</u>
55	9	0	21,105	BLTT-2008
56	8	0	10,818	BLTT-2040
57	11	1	493,819	BLTT-1485
58	9	0	13,760	BLTT-1921
59	7	1	17,082	BLTT-1720
60	10	0	14,123	BLTT-1579
61	9	0	7,910	BLTT-1482
62	7	0	5,898	BLTT-2064
63	11	0	320,664	BLTT-1483
64	9	0	14,204	BLTT-2122
65	9	0	45,887	BLTT-1484
66	7	2	485,011	BLTT-2006
67	10	2	36,785	BLTT-2013
68	8	0	304,354	BLTT-2066
69	10	1	5,449	BLTT-1645
TOTAL	302	48	5,588,545	

Total UHF Translators 2,539
Colorado Translators 302
% of U.S. Total 11.9

TABLE 3 FULL SERVICE TELEVISION STATIONS AND ALLOTMENTS WITHIN THE STATE OF COLORADO AUGUST 1995

<u>Channel</u>	<u>Çall</u>	<u>City/State</u>	ERP	HAAT	<u>Status</u>	City <u>Population</u>
2	KWGN-TV	Denver, CO	100	319	LIC	467,610
3	KREG-TV	Glenwood Springs, CO	67.6 DA	771	LIC	6,561
3	KTVS(TV)	Sterling, CO	60.3	231	LIC	10,362
4	KCNC-TV	Denver, CO	100	451	LIC	467,610
4	KJWA(TV)	Grand Junction, CO	10.7 DA	422	СР	29,034
5	KOAA-TV	Pueblo, CO	100	396	LIC	98,648
5	KREX-TV	Grand Junction, CO	12.9	(-) 23	LIC	39,034
6	KRMA-TV ¹	Denver, CO	10	268	LIC	467,610
6	KREZ-TV	Durango, CO	6.17	110	LIC	12,430
7	KMGH-TV	Denver, CO	3.16	308	LIC	467,610
8	KTSC(TV)1	Pueblo, CO	316	372	LIC	98,648
8	KJCT(TV)	Grand Junction, CO	120 DA	829	LIC	39,034
9	KUSA-TV	Denver, CO	316	280	LIC	467,610
10	KREY-TV	Montrose, CO	6.17	24	LIC	8,854
11	KKTV(TV)	Colorado Springs, Co	234 DA	725	LIC	281,140
12	KBDI-TV ¹	Broomfield, CO	229	738	LIC	24,638
12		Lamar, CO			Allot.	8,343
13	KRDO-TV	Colorado Springs, CO	282 DA	652	LIC	281,140
14		Lamar, CO			Allot.	8,343

¹Educational

_

TABLE 3 FULL SERVICE TELEVISION STATIONS AND ALLOTMENTS WITHIN THE STATE OF COLORADO AUGUST 1995 (continued)

<u>Channel</u>	<u>Call</u>	<u>City/State</u>	<u>ERP</u>	HAAT	<u>Status</u>	City <u>Population</u>
15		Leadville, CO			Allot.	2,629
16		Alamosa, CO			Allot.	1,389
16		Craig, CO			Allot.	8,091
17		Gunnison, CO			Allot.	4,636
18		Sterling, CO			Allot.	10,362
18		Grand Junction, CO			Allot.	29,034
19		Glenwood Springs, CO			Allot.	6,561
20	KTVD(TV)	Denver, CO			LIC.	467,610
20		Durango, CO			Allot.	12,430
21	KXRM-TV	Colorado Springs, CO	1050 DA	634	LIC	281,140
22	KFCT	Fort Collins, CO	1860 DA	1860	CP Mod.	87,758
22		La Junta, CO			Allot.	7,637
22		Montrose, CO			Allot.	8,854
23		Salida, CO			Allot.	4,737
24	KSBS-TV	Steamboat Springs, CO	5.01 DA	157	LIC	6,695
24		Trinidad, CO			Allot.	8,580
25	KĐEN(TV)	Longmont, CO	5000 DA	332	LIC	51,555
26		Pueblo, CO			Allot.	98,648
31	KDVR(TV)	Denver, CO	5000 DA	317	LIC	467,610
32		Pueblo, CO			Allot.	98,648

TABLE 3 FULL SERVICE TELEVISION STATIONS AND ALLOTMENTS WITHIN THE STATE OF COLORADO AUGUST 1995 (continued)

Channel	<u>Call</u>	<u>City/State</u>	ERP	HAAT	<u>Status</u>	City <u>Population</u>
33		Durango, CO			Allot.	12,430
41	KRMT(TV) ¹	Denver, CO	741 DA	351	LIC	467,610
47		Alamosa, CO			Allot.	1,389
50	KCEC(TV)	Denver, CO	2510 DA	233	LIC	467,610
53	KWHD(TV)	Castle Rock, CO	193 DA	2132	LIC	8,708
59	KUBD(TV)	Denver, CO	5000 DA	96	LIC	467,610

Totals

VHF Licensed/CP 17 (3 educationals)

VHF Unused Allotments

UHF Licensed/CP 10 (1 educational)

UHF Unused Allotments 17

VHF Full Service	857	UHF Full Service	682
Colorado Full Service	17	Colorado Full Service	10
% of U.S. total	2.5	% of U.S. Total	1.2

¹Educational

TABLE 4 ALLOCATION SITUATION K30AA, COLORADO SPRINGS, COLORADO IN REFERENCE TO FULL-SERVICE TV STATIONS AND ALLOTMENTS AUGUST 1995

				Separa	ation
<u>Channel</u>	<u>Call</u>	City/State	Coordinates	Required	<u>Actual</u>
30	K30AA	Colorado Springs, CO	38° 44′ 45" 104° 51′ 50"		
15	Allot.	Leadville, CO	39° 14′ 52" 106° 17′ 28"	119.9	135.8
23	Allot.	Salida, CO	38° 32′ 05" 105° 59′ 27"	95.7	101.1
26	Allot.	Pueblo, CO	38° 14′ 24" 104° 36′ 18"	31.4	60.4
31	KDVR(TV)	Denver, CO	39° 43′ 45" 105° 14′ 12"	87.7	113.9
32	Allot.	Pueblo, CO	38° 14′ 24" 104° 36′ 18"	31.4	60.4

TABLE 5 ALLOCATION SITUATION BPTT-930330CA, CORTEZ-RED MESA, COLORADO IN REFERENCE TO FULL-SERVICE TV STATIONS AND ALLOTMENTS AUGUST 1995

				Separa	ation
Channel	Call	City/State	Coordinates	Required	<u>Actual</u>
64	BPTT- 930330CA	Cortez-Red Mesa, CO	37° 21′ 56" 108° 08′ 47"		
50	KCEC(TV)	Denver, CO	39° 43′ 59" 105° 14′ 12"	95.7	365.2
50 CP	KASY-TV	Albuquerque, NM	35° 12′ 45" 106° 26′ 56"	95.7	283.4
59	KUPD(TV)	Denver, CO	39° 40′ 31" 104° 52′ 22"	31.4	383.7
64	ADD	Agua Prieta, SO MX	31° 20′ 00" 109° 33′ 00"	280.8	681.5

TABLE 6 STUDY REGARDING UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN COLORADO TRANSLATOR APPLICATION FOR CHANNEL 21, GRAND JUNCTION, COLORADO AUGUST 1995

		Separa	ation
Allo	Required km	<u>Actual</u> km	
BPET-950112KG CH. *18 Grand Junction, CO 186 kW, 883 meters	39° 03′ 14" 108° 15′ 13"	31.4	4.7
CH. 22 Montrose, CO	38° 28′ 44" 107° 52′ 31"	87.7	74.2
	<u>Allotments</u>		
Grand Junction	5, 8, *18, 4*, 11 ADD Ch.13		
Montrose	10, *22		
Grand Junction	<u>Licensed/C.P.'s/Applications</u> KJWA(TV) Ch.4 CP, KREX-TV Ch.5 KJCT(TV) Ch.8, New Ch.8 App.		
	K16DC, New Ch.*18 App. K27CO CP and K59FP		
Montrose	K04FW, K07IU, KREY-TV Ch.10, K19CE and K28AD		
	Population		
Grand Junction, CO Within 120 km Montrose, CO Within 120 km	29,034 186,493 8,854 176,795		
WILLING 120 KIII	170,700		

^{*}Educational

TABLE 7 STUDY REGARDING UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN COLORADO TRANSLATOR APPLICATION FOR CHANNEL 29, DURANGO, COLORADO AUGUST 1995

		Separa	tion
Allo	Required km	<u>Actual</u> km	
CH. *15 Farmington, NM	36° 58′ 54" 108° 12′ 13"	95.7	41.2
CH. 33 Durango, CO	37° 16′ 30" 107° 52′ 42"	31.4	2.3
	<u>Allotments</u>		
Durango Farmington	6, *20, 33 12, *15, ADD 3		
	Licensed/C.P.'s/Applications		
Durango	KREZ-TV Ch.6, K39AH, K45DH and K66BN		
Farmington	KOBF(TV) Ch.12, K19CM, K21AX K23BT, K43AI, K47DR and K55DW		
	<u>Population</u>		
Durango, CO Within 120 km Farmington, CO Within 120 km	12,430 156,261 33,997 172,500		

Channel 20 allotted to Durango could be satellite for KTSC(TV).

^{*}Educational

TABLE 8 STUDY REGARDING UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN COLORADO TRANSLATOR APPLICATION FOR CHANNEL 15, IGNACIO COLORADO AUGUST 1995

		Separa	ition
Allocation Preclusion		Required km	<u>Actual</u> km
CH. *15 Farmington, NM	36° 58′ 54" 108° 12′ 13"	280.8	53.0
CH. *20 Durango, CO	37° 16′ 29" 107° 52′ 25"	31.4	29.5
	Allotments		
Durango Farmington	6, *20, 33 12, *15, ADD 3		
	Licensed/C.P.'s/Applications		
Durango	KREZ-TV Ch.6, K39AH, K45DH and K66BN		
Farmington	KOBF(TV) Ch.12, K19CM, K21AX, K23BT, K43AI, K47DR and K55DW		
	Population		
Durango, CO Within 120 km Farmington, CO Within 120 km	12,430 156,261 33,997 172,500		

Channel 20 allotted to Durango could be full-service station and serve Ignacio.

^{*}Educational

TABLE 9 ALLOCATION SITUATION PROPOSED TRANSLATOR CHANNEL 29, CANON CITY, COLORADO IN REFERENCE TO FULL-SERVICE TV STATIONS AND ALLOTMENTS AUGUST 1995

				Separ	ation
Channel	<u>Call</u>	City/State	Coordinates	Required	<u>Actual</u>
29		Canon City, CO	38° 26′ 29" 105° 14′ 03"		
14	KTVJ(TV)	Boulder, CO	39° 40′ 18" 105° 13′ 12"	119.9	136.6
15		Leadville, CO	39° 14′ 52" 106° 17′ 28"	95.7	128.2
21	KXRM-TV	Colorado Springs, CO	38° 44′ 40" 104° 51′ 37"	31.4	46.8
26		Pueblo, CO	38° 14′ 24" 104° 36′ 18"	31.4	59.4
32		Pueblo, CO	38° 14′ 24" 104° 30′ 18"	31.4	59.4

TABLE 10 ALLOCATION SITUATION PROPOSED TRANSLATOR CHANNEL 26, VILLA GROVE, COLORADO IN REFERENCE TO FULL-SERVICE TV STATIONS AND ALLOTMENTS AUGUST 1995

				Separ	ation
Channel	<u>Call</u>	City/State	Coordinates	Required	<u>Actual</u>
26		Villa Grove, CO	38° 15′ 04" 105° 57′08"		
23		Salida, CO	38° 32′ 05" 105° 39′ 27"	31.4	31.7
26		Pueblo, CO	38° 14′ 24" 104° 36′ 18"	280.8	117.9*
41	KRMT	Denver, CO	39° 35′ 59" 105° 12′ 35"	119.9	163

*Grade B 5000 kW 600 M = 106 km DA would protect 5000 kW 300 M = 82.7 km

TABLE 11 STUDY REGARDING PROPOSED TRANSLATOR FOR CHANNEL 22, LA JUNTA, COLORADO AUGUST 1995

		Separ	ation
Allocation Preci	usion	Required km	<u>Actual</u> km
CH. *22 La Junta, CO	37° 59′ 06" 103° 32′ 19"	280.8	0.9
	<u>Allotments</u>		
La Junta	*22		
<u>Li</u>	censed/C.P.'s/Applic	ations	
La Junta	K35KZ		
	<u>Population</u>		
La Junta, CO Within 120 km	7,637 175,622		
Avail	able Translator/LPTV	Channels	
La Junta	30,43,44,57 59,61		

^{*}Educational

TABLE 12 STUDY REGARDING PROPOSED TRANSLATOR FOR CHANNEL 17, CHERAW, COLORADO AUGUST 1995

		Separa	ation
Allo	Required km	Actual km	
CH. *22 La Junta, CO	37° 59′ 06" 103° 32′ 19"	31.4	13.8
CH. 32 Pueblo, CO	38° 14′ 24" 104° 36′ 18"	119.9	97.0
	Allotments		
La Junta Pueblo	*22 *8, 26, 32		
	Licensed/C.P.'s/Applications		
La Junta	K35KZ		
Pueblo	KOAA-TV Ch.5, KTSC(TV) Ch.*8 K18EM, K24DJ, K48CU		
	Population		
La Junta, CO Within 120 km Pueblo, CO Within 120 km	7,637 175,622 98,648 615,736		
<u> </u>	Available Translator/LPTV Channels		
Cheraw	30,43,44,57 59,61		

^{*}Educational

TABLE 13 STUDY REGARDING PROPOSED TRANSLATOR FOR CHANNEL 16, LA VETA, COLORADO AUGUST 1995

		Separ	ation
Allocation Preci	usion	<u>Required</u> km	<u>Actual</u> km
CH. *16 Alamosa, CO	37° 28′ 10" 105° 52′ 00"	280.8	78.4
	Allotments		
Alamosa	*16, 47		
<u>Li</u>	censed/C.P.'s/Applic	cations	
Alamosa	козсо		
Avail	able Translator/LPT\	/ Channel	
Le Veta	28,29,34,45 54,58,64		
	<u>Population</u>		
Alamosa Within 120 km	7,579 83,111		

^{*}Educational

Before the FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20554

In the M	atter of)	
Amendm	nent of Section 73.606(b),)	MM Docket No. 93-191
Table of	Allotments,)	RM-8088
TV Broa	dcast Stations)	
(Pueblo,	Colorado))	
To:	Chief, Allocations Branc	:h	
	(Stop Code 1800D5)		

JOINT COMMENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN COLORADO AND SANGRE DE CRISTO COMMUNICATIONS. INC.

(202) 857-2500

Wayne Coy, Jr.

Cohn & Marks

Suzanne M. Perry

1333 New Hampshire Avenue

D'Wana R. Speight

Suite 600

Washington, D.C. 20036

(202) 293-3860

Dow, Lohnes & Albertson

1255 23rd Street, N.W.

Suite 500

Washington, D.C. 20037

September 3, 1993

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

Introduction	2
Commission Policy and Precedent Demand That Authorized Facilities, Not Simply Operational Facilities, Be Included In Intraband Channel Exchanges	
The University's Delay in Implementing the Cheyenne Mountain Permit Was Due to Circumstances Beyond Its Control	
The Merits of the Short-Spacing Waiver Granted to KTSC-TV Should Not Be Reevaluated in this Proceeding	14
The University's Continued Service to Colorado Springs Is Not Threatened By the Proposed Channel Exchange	18
The Joint Petition Properly Characterized the Potential Gain In Noncommercial Reception Service As a Public Interest Benefit of the Channel Exchange Proposal	20
Conclusion	23

SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT

The channel exchange as initially proposed by the Petitioners is clearly consistent with the public interest. Exclusion of KTSC-TV's Cheyenne Mountain construction permit from the swap, however, would be contrary to the Commission's Rules -- which expressly permit channel exchanges to include construction permits -- and to precedent -- approving swaps involving outstanding construction permits.

That the permit in this case included a short-spacing waiver affords no basis to depart from established past practice. The waiver involved a technical rule, designed to avoid objectionable interference. As such, it was not, and could not have been, granted based upon the non-commercial identity of the party which sought it. The technical parameters of operation pursuant to the waiver will be identical no matter what the content of the programming being transmitted, so there is no non-content-based reason to withdraw the permit in conjunction with the swap. The swap must therefore be approved as initially proposed, that is, including the Cheyenne Mountain construction permit.

The translator service contemplated by the swap proposal further supports its approval. Translators will facilitate new non-commercial service to the Western Slope: service by translator facilities is a clear improvement to no service at all. KTSC-TV's service to Colorado Springs will remain unchanged: it has historically used a translator to enhance the technical quality of its service to that community and it will continue to do so following the swap. That this service will be from a translator rather than off-air from the station's primary transmitter is

immaterial in light of the fact that the service being provided is an enhancement to ameliorate shadowing rather than a replacement for missing off-air service.

Finally, it is clear that the University properly forbore from fully implementing the Cheyenne Mountain Permit. Not only would the University's interests be maximized by pursuing the swap, but also the public interest would be furthered by the benefits associated therewith. Thus, the University concentrated its efforts on this endeavor. Indeed, for the University to use public resources to build a station which it might never operate would have been both wasteful and imprudent. The delay in action on the swap proposal, which is clearly a matter beyond the University's control, is the reason that the Cheyenne Mountain Permit has not yet been implemented. Accordingly, the circumstances clearly warrant extension of the Cheyenne Mountain Permit.

Before the

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20554

In the M	atter of)	
Amendment of Section 73.606(b), Table of Allotments, TV Broadcast Stations (Pueblo, Colorado))))	MM Docket No. 93-191 RM-8088
То:	Chief, Allocations Brand (Stop Code 1800D5)	ch .	

JOINT COMMENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN COLORADO AND SANGRE DE CRISTO COMMUNICATIONS, INC.

The University of Southern Colorado (the "University"), licensee of Station KTSC-TV, Channel 8, Pueblo, Colorado, and Sangre de Cristo Communications, Inc. ("SCC"), licensee of Station KOAA-TV, Channel 5, Pueblo, Colorado, by their attorneys, submit their joint comments in response to the Commission's Notice of Proposed Rule Making in the above-captioned proceeding.^{1/2}

^{1/} Notice of Proposed Rule Making, MM Docket No. 93-191, released July 13, 1993 (the "Notice"). The Notice was issued in response to the Petition for Issuance of Notice of Proposed Rulemaking to Exchange Channels (the "Joint Petition") filed by the University and SCC (collectively, the "Petitioners").

Introduction

On September 8, 1992, the Petitioners filed their Joint Petition seeking Commission approval of a VHF intraband channel swap between the University and SCC. The proposed channel swap entails the following components:

- (a) amendment of the Television Table of Allotments whereby Channel 8, Pueblo, Colorado is designated as a commercial channel and Channel 5, Pueblo, Colorado is designated as a noncommercial channel;
- (b) modification of the license for KTSC-TV to specify operation on Channel 5 from KOAA-TV's present licensed transmitter site; and
- (c) modification of the license for KOAA-TV to specify operation on Channel 8 at a site atop Cheyenne Mountain authorized by an existing construction permit held by the University (the "Cheyenne Mountain Permit")^{2'}.

The Joint Petition describes in detail the public interest benefits associated with the proposed channel swap. Briefly, the University will receive \$1,000,000, which will be used to expand its network of television translators and provide first over-the-air service to the Western Slope of Colorado (where non-commercial service currently is only received via satellite delivery) and to broaden and expand KTSC-TV's noncommercial, educational television programming. The University also will receive technical operational benefits, namely, (i) a dual thirty kilowatt cross-polarized transmitter which will provide a stronger, better quality signal to Colorado Springs and Pueblo and will be more reliable than KTSC-TV's present transmitter; and (ii) Television Translator Station K30AA, Colorado Springs,

^{2/} See FCC File No. BPET-900122KE.

Colorado, which will facilitate coverage of a wider area of El Paso County and thus will enable the University to better serve Colorado Springs. SCC, after implementing the Cheyenne Mountain Permit to commence operations from the antenna farm used by other market commercial stations, will be able to provide full competitive service to the Colorado Springs-Pueblo television market.

In the Notice, the Commission concludes that the proposed channel swap, excluding the Cheyenne Mountain Permit, would be in the public interest. Petitioners submit that this exclusion is unwarranted and contrary to law and precedent, and urge the Commission to approve the swap as proposed. The University hereby restates its intention -- and its commitment -- to operate KTSC-TV on Channel 5 if the channel exchange is authorized as proposed in the Joint Petition and discussed herein. SCC also hereby restates its intention -- and its commitment -- to operate KOAA-TV on Channel 8 if the channel exchange is authorized as proposed in the Joint Petition and discussed herein. Finally, Petitioners have consistently

^{3/} As indicated herein, based on Commission policy and precedent, the Petitioners anticipated that the Cheyenne Mountain Permit would be a part of the Notice's channel exchange proposal. As demonstrated in the Joint Petition and confirmed herein, the Cheyenne Mountain Permit is inextricably linked to the public interest benefits associated with the proposed channel exchange. SCC's continued interest in pursuing the channel exchange is therefore conditioned upon the inclusion of the Cheyenne Mountain Permit in the swap proposal ultimately approved by the Commission. In this regard, on September 2, 1993, Petitioners responded to the Notice's exclusion of the Cheyenne Mountain Permit and filed an application seeking FCC consent to its assignment from the University to SCC.

^{4/} See Paragraph 13 of the Notice and Paragraph 2 of the Appendix thereto.

been, and remain, committed to taking all steps necessary to effectuate the proposed exchange as expeditiously as possible.

The Notice, requests comment on several specific issues of concern to the Commission: (a) modification of KOAA-TV's authorization to reflect the terms of the Cheyenne Mountain Permit; (b) KTSC-TV's post-exchange service to Colorado Springs; and (c) the potential gain in KTSC-TV's reception service through proposed translator service. These joint comments respond to these and other related issues and confirm that Petitioners' channel exchange proposal is fully consistent with the public interest and applicable requirements.

Commission Policy and Precedent Demand That Authorized Facilities, Not Simply Operational Facilities. Be Included In Intraband Channel Exchanges

At Paragraph 7 of the Notice, the Commission notes that since the University has not operated KTSC-TV from the site authorized by the Cheyenne Mountain Permit, it "believe[s] it appropriate to propose to modify SCC's authorization for Station KOAA-TV to specify the site in [the University's] outstanding license for Station KTSC(TV), and not its construction permit site." Such action, however, would be contrary to well-established Commission precedent and policy.

When the Commission amended Section 1.420 of its rules to establish its current procedures for intraband channel exchanges, it stated that such procedures